

AN ANALYSIS OF LANGUAGE AND GENDER FROM CHARACTER MAN AND WOMAN IN “HITCH MOVIE”

Firna Rinta Afrilika¹
Dion Tira Erlangga²
English Literature
English Education

diontiraerlangga@gmail.com

Abstract

Language is a sign system that contains gender differentiative terms, concepts and labels. Language is also very influential on our perceptions and perspectives on something. The language we use everyday is seen only as a communication tool, but language is a means of socialization and preservation of an attitude or value. This paper aims to find what are the language character differentiations between men and women in Hitch movie. The result of this study is it can be concluded that women and men are both have different characters that leads to have different language. Women tend to give another speaker chances to another speaker to finish their talk and women are more focus on the topic without changing the topic until it is finished. Men, on the contrary, they tend to overlapping another speaker and changing the topic which considered as interruption. Men are more aggressive in talking so the way they talk are more harsh, men often curse just for a common conversation, it is makes women uncomfortable because they are soft spoken and rarely curse unless in certain situation that makes them upset.

Key words: Language and gender, movie, sosiolinguistic

INTRODUCTION

Language is a communication tool used by the community [1], [2], [3] to express ideas that have become a common consensus [4], [5], [6]. Expression the language describes the tendency of the speaker community [7], [8], [9]. Therefore, to learn and explain language, it must involve the social aspects of the image of society [10], [11], [12] such as the social order, social strata, age, environment and others [13], [14], [15]. In contrast to what Chomsky said that language is asocial [16], [17], [18] because it ignores heterogeneity that exists in society, both social status, education, age, gender, cultural background, and others [19], [20], [21].

Language and gender studies focus on how they influence towards language usage [22], [23], [24]. Gender is a factor that influences variations in language [25], [26], [27] although until now language studies are generally letting gender differences in language usage [28], [29], [30]. This paper attempts to discuss language and its relation to gender. The discussion includes a glimpse of sex and gender, representation symmetrical, sexist

discourse, do men and women indeed use different languages, why gender differences occur, factors cause, and cover.

Communication can be understood well by both the speaker and hearer [31], [32], [33], when they know about the context and the topic of conversation [34], [35], [36]. Sometimes we are not sure about the message we get from something we read or hear [37], [38], [39], and we concern about getting our own message across to others [40], [41], [42]. In communication, there are also some aspects of language that must be noticed [43], [44], [45]. For example, when we do communication, we will find the use of 3 references or term of address, such as: He, She, You, Sir, My friend, Here, there, etc [46], [47], [48]. The purpose is to point something [49], [50], [51]. All of these words can be called as Deixis [52], [53], [54]. Deixis is the words which the referents always change depending on the context [55], [56], [57]. [58], [59] states that deixis is the single obvious way in which the relationship between language and context is reflected in the structures of language themselves [60], [61], [62]. Levinson also categories the deixis into five kinds [63], [64], [65], they are person deixis, spatial deixis, temporal deixis, discourse deixis and social deixis [66], [67], [68]. In other hand, Yule also categories the deixis into three kinds they are to indicate people via person deixis (me, you), to indicate location via spatial deixis (here, there), and to indicate time via temporal deixis (now, then) [69], [70], [71]. Moreover, definiteness is a feature of noun phrase selected by the speaker to convey his assumption [72], [73], [74] that the hearer will be able to identify the referent of the noun phrase [75], [76], [77]. There are three main types of definite noun phrase; proper name (Stephen, Mary, etc), personal pronoun (she, he, it), and determiner (the, that, those, etc) [78], [79], [80]. Furthermore, in some past decades there are some researchers related to deixis and definiteness such as Diessel (2012), Webber (1997), Kirtcuk (2004), etc [81], [82], [83]. Hence, the purpose of the current study is to fill the gap in this area of research by exploring the deixis and definiteness in the Jakarta Globe's online newspaper; furthermore, newspaper is important to improve people's daily information.

Research Problem

- What are the language character differentiations between men and women in HITCH movie

Research Objective

- To find language character differentiations in that film

Several studies have been conducted in this field of topic, According to Jie Lie (2014), which in his research pertaining to sociolinguistic study of language and gender in well-known American TV series, *Desperate Housewives*. The study mainly concentrates on differences in the amount of talk, the amount of turns and distribution of them [84], [85], [86]. Its findings are as follows: In terms of the amount of talk, men use more words to compose more sentences [87], [88], [89]. In other words, they are much more talkative than women [90], [91], [92]. In the aspect of the amount of turns, men are inclined to take the turn floor for a longer time [93], [94], [95]. In a word, the proposed research, though has some limitations, is of theoretical and practical significance [96], [97], [98].

Another study conducted by Xiufang Xia (2013) regarding to the differences between men and women in using language, she found that there are many differences from the aspects of pronunciation, intonation, vocabulary, syntax, manners, attitudes, and non-verbal differences in using language between men and women [99], [100].

METHOD

The researchers used a descriptive method in this research. Descriptive method considered most suitable for use in this study because of the data obtained based on existing facts or events that are happening. Descriptive method can also be interpreted as a procedure or way to solve research problems with describes the state of the object being investigated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Research on language and gender focuses on how gender influences language use. Gender is a factor that influences language variation. In addition, human behavior in society as a man or woman is also influenced by the use of language in the community. And in the context of gender, gender differences in conversation strategies and habits will have implications for the variety of languages used.

Men and women were created to live in pairs, but what often happens is quarrel between them due to differences in the character. Men have harsher character than women; it means that men more often disturb and dominate women. But there are men who really do not know how to approach women, so that the ways they used are wrong although for them it

was true. Differences in the character of the language also affect the style of language speech. Men are known more often than women cursing because people judge that if the woman does not deserve to curse because when women curse, they are considered less feminine. As discussed in chapter 1 that men grew following his father's behavior and women followed her mother's behavior, then if all is turned upside down so that all considered improper or inappropriate. Since then women sometimes feel uncomfortable when talking with a man who often cursing and men are also uncomfortable when talking to talkative or tacky women.

In this section discussed further on the language character differentiation. By understanding the differences in language character, this study expected relationships between men and women can be better understanding each other. So every time the conversation between men and women, they are able to understand the character of his interlocutor. And more than that, they can adjust their personal character with their interlocutor. Topic of talking of women are so different than men, women talks about their relationship to other women, and rather than talking about the feeling, men talking about how to get into a relationship; Men talks about business, women talks about men. By talking to the same gender with the interesting topic, the conversation continues for a long time although – in this case, men – the topic always change.

However, on the other hand when both women and men are talking a different topic, the conversation lasts quicker, this because the topic maybe consider as not interesting or not important to one of the genders. Style of language that used by men, are mostly harsh and yet, women are softer, this is because the decision of each gender to follow who. It means that when a boy becoming a man, they are sure decided to choose to be his father, and when a girl tries to be her mother, they put the make-up on, they dressed like princess (to be a complete women) because he choose to grow to be her mother. As for a girl are not allowed to talk harshly, let alone swearing, they are forcing themselves to be more polite to the public (in this case to the strangers, not to the close person)

CONCLUSION

Based on the results and discussion, which presented in previous chapter, it can be concluded that women and men are both have different characters that leads to have

different language. This movie shows all the characteristics and language of men and women. The conversation focuses on how to attract women by understanding their characteristic, although in such case there are some bad example of how to attract women. All in all, the movie gives the right ways of how to attract women to some men who really like women, not who just want to sleep with them. It also provides the right ways to do towards the situation by showing the characteristic of women as follows: Women tend to give another speaker chances to another speaker to finish their talk and women are more focus on the topic without changing the topic until it is finished. Men, on the contrary, they tend to overlapping another speaker and changing the topic which considered as interruption. Men are more aggressive in talking so the way they talk are more harsh, men often curse just for a common conversation, it is makes women uncomfortable because they are soft spoken and rarely curse unless in certain situation that makes them upset.

REFERENCES

- D. Amelia, A. Afrianto, S. Samanik, S. Suprayogi, B. E. Pranoto, and I. Gulo, "Improving Public Speaking Ability through Speech," *J. Soc. Sci. Technol. Community Serv.*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 322, 2022, doi: 10.33365/jsstcs.v3i2.2231.
- B. E. Pranoto and S. Suprayogi, "Incorporating 9GAG memes to develop EFL learners' speaking ability and willingness to communicate," *IJEE (Indonesian J. English Educ.)*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 130–144, 2020.
- U. Nurmalasari and Samanik, "A Study of Social Stratification In France In 19th Century as Portrayed in 'The Necklace 'La Parure'' Short Story by Guy De Maupassant," *English Lang. Lit. Int. Conf.*, vol. 2, p. 2, 2018, [Online]. Available: <https://jurnal.unimus.ac.id/index.php/ELLIC/article/view/3570>
- L. U. Qodriani and M. Y. Kardiansyah, "Exploring Culture in Indonesia English Textbook for Secondary Education," *JPI (Jurnal Pendidik. Indones.)*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 51–58, 2018.
- B. N. Sari and I. Gulö, "Observing Grammatical Collocation in Students' Writings," *Teknosastik*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 25–31, 2019.
- H. Kuswoyo, E. T. S. Sujatna, Afrianto, and A. Rido, "„This novel is not totally full of tears...“: Graduation Resources as Appraisal Strategies in EFL Students" Fiction Book Review Oral Presentation," *World J. English Lang.*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 294–303, 2022, doi: 10.5430/wjel.v12n6p294.
- W. R. Oktavia and S. Suprayogi, "GRAMMATICAL COHESION IN BORIS JOHNSON'S SPEECH ENTITLED CORONAVIRUS SPREAD IN UK," *Linguist. Lit. J.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 8–16, 2021.
- B. E. Pranoto and L. K. Afrilita, "The organization of words in mental lexicon: evidence

- from word association test,” *Teknosastik*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 26–33, 2019.
- D. Puspita and D. Amelia, “TED-TALK: A SUPPLEMENT MATERIAL TO PROMOTE STUDENTS’ AUTONOMY IN LISTENING,” *ELTIN JOURNAL, J. English Lang. Teach. Indones.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 91–102, 2020.
- M. Hutauruk and D. Puspita, “A METAPRAGMATIC ANALYSIS: A STUDY OF PRAGMATIC FAILURE FOUND IN INDONESIAN EFL STUDENTS,” *Linguist. Lit. J.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 62–69, 2020.
- S. Suprayogi and B. E. Pranoto, “VIRTUAL TOURISM EXHIBITION ACTIVITY IN ENGLISH FOR TOURISM CLASS: STUDENTS’ PERSPECTIVES,” *Celt. A J. Cult. English Lang. Teaching, Lit. Linguist.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 199–207, 2020.
- B. Maulana and S. Suprayogi, “Analysis of Sense Relations on Stars Song Lyric By,” vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 42–47, 2022.
- D. Puspita and B. E. Pranoto, “The attitude of Japanese newspapers in narrating disaster events: Appraisal in critical discourse study,” *Stud. English Lang. Educ.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 796–817, 2021.
- A. Afrianto and I. Gulö, “Revisiting English competence at hotel,” *Teknosastik*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 35–39, 2019.
- M. Y. Kardiansyah and A. Salam, “Reassuring Feasibility of Using Bourdieusian Sociocultural Paradigm for Literary Translation Study,” in *Ninth International Conference on Language and Arts (ICLA 2020)*, 2021, pp. 135–139.
- L. Oktaviani and B. Mandasari, “Powtoon: A digital medium to optimize students’ cultural presentation in ELT classroom,” *Teknosastik*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 33–41, 2020.
- L. Septiyana and D. Aminatun, “the Correlation Between Efl Learners’ Cohesion and Their Reading Comprehension,” *J. Res. Lang. Educ.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 68–74, 2021.
- L. U. Qodriani and I. D. P. Wijana, “Language Change in ‘New-Normal’ Classroom,” in *4th International Conference on Language, Literature, Culture, and Education (ICOLLITE 2020)*, 2020, pp. 385–389.
- O. Cahyaningsih and B. E. Pranoto, “A CRITICAL DISCOURSE ANALYSIS : THE REPRESENTATION OF DONALD TRUMP IN THE REUTERS AND THE NEW YORK TIMES TOWARDS THE ISSUE OF # BLACKLIVESMATTER,” vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 75–83, 2021.
- J. S. Al Falaq, S. Suprayogi, F. N. Susanto, and A. U. Husna, “Exploring The Potentials of Wattpad For Literature Class,” *Indones. J. Learn. Stud.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 12–19, 2021.
- S. Samanik, “Imagery Analysis In Matsuoka’s Cloud Of Sparrows,” *Linguist. Lit. J.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 17–24, 2021.
- Y. Mertania and D. Amelia, “Black Skin White Mask: Hybrid Identity of the Main

- Character as Depicted in Tagore's The Home and The World," *Linguist. Lit. J.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 7–12, 2020, doi: 10.33365/llj.v1i1.233.
- M. Y. Kardiansyah, "Pygmalion Karya Bernard Shaw dalam Edisi 1957 dan 2000," *Madah J. Bhs. dan Sastra*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 75–88, 2019.
- D. Amelia and F. D. Dintasi, "Ephebophilia suffered by the main character," *Teknosastik*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 81–86, 2019.
- J. Asia and Samanik, "Dissociative Identity Disorder Reflected in Frederick Clegg ' S Character in the Collectors Novel," *ELLiC*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 424–431, 2018.
- I. Gulö and T. Nainggolan, "The Functions of Nias Personal Pronouns," 2021.
- H. Kuswoyo and R. A. Siregar, "Interpersonal metadiscourse markers as persuasive strategies in oral business presentation," *Ling. Cult.*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 297–304, 2019.
- J. Fakhrurozi, D. Pasha, J. Jupriyadi, and I. Anggrenia, "Pemertahanan Sastra Lisan Lampung Berbasis Digital Di Kabupaten Pesawaran," *J. Soc. Sci. Technol. Community Serv.*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 27, 2021, doi: 10.33365/jsstcs.v2i1.1068.
- P. Mulyah, A. Rekha, and D. Aminatun, "Learning from Mistakes: Students' Perception towards Teacher's Attitude in Writing Correction," *Lexeme J. Linguist. Appl. Linguist.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 44–52, 2020.
- L. K. Candra and L. U. Qodriani, "An Analysis of Code Switching in Leila S. Chudori's For Nadira," *Teknosastik*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 9, 2019, doi: 10.33365/ts.v16i1.128.
- B. E. Pranoto and S. Suprayogi, "A Need Analysis of ESP for Physical Education Students in Indonesia," *Premise J. English Educ.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 94–110, 2020.
- D. Puspita, "CORPUS BASED STUDY: STUDENTS' LEXICAL COVERAGE THROUGH BUSINESS PLAN REPORT WRITING," *16 Novemb. 2019, Bandar Lampung, Indones. i.*
- D. Puspita, "Journal of Literature , Linguistics and," vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 42–50, 2021.
- Afrianto, E. T. S. Sujatna, N. Darmayanti, and F. Ariyani, "Configuration of Lampung Mental Clause: a Functional Grammar Investigation," *Proc. Ninth Int. Conf. Lang. Arts (ICLA 2020)*, vol. 539, no. Icla 2020, pp. 222–226, 2021, doi: 10.2991/assehr.k.210325.039.
- M. R. Choirunnisa and B. Mandasari, "Secondary students' views towards the Use of Google Clasroom as an online assessments tools during Covid-19 pandemic," *J. Arts Educ.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2021.
- M. Y. Kardiansyah, "English Drama in the Late of VictoriaKardiansyah, M. Y. (2019). English Drama in the Late of Victorian Period (1880-1901): Realism in Drama Genre Revival. Teknosastik, 15(2), 64–68.n Period (1880-1901): Realism in Drama Genre Revival," *Teknosastik*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 64–68, 2019.

- L. Oktaviani, A. A. Aldino, Y. T. Lestari, Suaidah, A. A. Aldino, and Y. T. Lestari, "Penerapan Digital Marketing Pada E-Commerce Untuk Meningkatkan Penjualan UMKM Marning," *J. Pengabd. Masy. DAN Inov.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 337–369, 2022.
- J. Fakhrurozi and Q. J. Adrian, "Kajian Dan Praktik Ekranisasi Cerpen Perempuan di Rumah Panggung ke Film Pendek Angkon," *Deiksis J. Pendidik. Bhs. dan Sastra Indones.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 31–40, 2021.
- D. Amelia and J. Daud, "Freudian Tripartite on Detective Fiction: the Tokyo Zodiac Murders," *Lang. Lit. J. Linguist. Lit. Lang. Teach.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 299–305, 2020, doi: 10.30743/ll.v4i2.3139.
- M. Y. Kardiansyah and A. Salam, "The Translator's Strategy as a Cultural Mediator in Translating Indonesian Novel into English," in *4th International Conference on Language, Literature, Culture, and Education (ICOLLITE 2020)*, 2020, pp. 413–418.
- A. D. Putri, H. Kuswoyo, I. Gulo, E. Ngestirosa, and E. G. Febrina, "Pengenalan Wawasan Digital Marketing Bagi Guru SMK N 1 Labuhan Maringgai, Lampung Timur," *J. Soc. Sci. Technol. Community Serv.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 147–153, 2023, [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.33365/jsstcs.v4i1.2666>
- S. Suprayogi, D. Puspita, S. Nuansa, and K. Sari, "THE DISCURSIVE CONSTRUCTION OF INDIGENOUS BELIEF ISSUE IN THE JAKARTA POST," vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 417–430, 2021.
- A. D. Wardaningsih and E. N. E. W. Kasih, "Delineation of Women Identity in the Disney Animated Film *Encanto* (2019)," *Lire J. (Journal Linguist. Lit.)*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 209–229, 2022, doi: 10.33019/lire.v6i2.160.
- R. M. Nababan and E. Nurmaily, "THE HYPERMASCULINITY AS SEEN IN THE MAIN CHARACTER IN *RAMBO : LAST BLOOD MOVIE*," vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 25–32, 2021.
- T. Yulianti and A. Sulistyawati, "Online Focus Group Discussion (OFGD) Model Design in Learning," 2021.
- J. Fakhrurozi and Q. J. Adrian, "Ekranisasi Cerpen ke Film Pendek: Alternatif Pembelajaran Kolaboratif di Perguruan Tinggi," in *Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra*, 2020, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 91–97.
- T. I. Setri and D. B. Setiawan, "Matriarchal Society in *The Secret Life of Bees* by Sue Monk Kidd," *Linguist. Lit. J.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 28–33, 2020, doi: 10.33365/llj.v1i1.223.
- D. Aminatun and L. Oktaviani, "Memrise: Promoting Students' Autonomous Learning Skill through Language Learning Application," *Metathesis J. English Lang. Lit. Teach.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 214–223, 2019, doi: 10.31002/metathesis.v3i2.1982.
- B. Mandasari and D. Aminatun, "VLOG: A TOOL TO IMPROVE STUDENTS' ENGLISH SPEAKING ABILITY AT UNIVERSITY LEVEL," *Proc. Univ.*

PAMULANG, vol. 1, no. 1, 2020.

- L. Journal, D. V. Ranti, and E. Nurmaily, "RACIAL PROFILING ON POLICE STOP AND SEARCH PRACTICE AS PORTRAYED IN THE GEORGE TILLMAN ' S MOVIE THE HATE U," vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 93–97, 2021.
- J. Fakhrurozi and D. Puspita, "KONSEP PIIL PESENGGIRI DALAM SASTRA LISAN WAWANCAN LAMPUNG SAIBATIN," *J. PESONA*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2021.
- S. Suprayogi, S.- Samanik, E. A. Novanti, and Y.- Ardesis, "EFL Learner's Literary Competence Mapping through Reader-Response Writing Assessed using CCEA GCSE Mark Scheme," *Celt A J. Cult. English Lang. Teach. Lit.*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 1, 2021, [Online]. Available: <http://journal.unika.ac.id/index.php/celt/article/view/2871>
- L. A. Sartika and B. E. Pranoto, "Analysis of Humor in the Big Bang Theory By Using Relevance Theory : a Pragmatic Study," vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2021.
- L. Oktaviani, "ETHNIC SNAKE GAME: A STUDY ON THE USE OF MULTIMEDIA IN SPEAKING CLASS FOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING STUDENTS," *Sect. Ed.*, 2018.
- I. Gulö, "How Nias Sees English Personal Pronouns Used as Preposition Objects," *Ling. J. Bhs. dan Sastra*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 147–156, 2018.
- A. Afrianto and A. Restika, "FUNGSI PEMARKAH WACANA: SEBUAH KASUS DI KELAS BERBICARA PADA LEVEL UNIVERSITAS," *LITERA*, vol. 17, no. 1, 2018.
- D. Puspita, "Error analysis on learners' interlanguage and intralanguage: a case study of two adolescent students," *Teknosastik*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 12–18, 2019.
- R. Ambarwati and B. Mandasari, "THE INFLUENCE OF ONLINE CAMBRIDGE DICTIONARY TOWARD STUDENTS' PRONUNCIATION AND VOCABULARY MASTERY," *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 50–55, 2020.
- D. Amelia, "UPAYA PENINGKATAN KOSAKATA BAHASA INGGRIS MELALUI STORYTELLING SLIDE AND SOUND," *J. Soc. Sci. Technol. Community Serv.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 22–26, 2021.
- J. Teknologi *et al.*, "BERITA HASIL LIPUTAN WARTAWAN BERBASIS WEB (STUDI KASUS : PWI LAMPUNG)," vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 49–55, 2021.
- B. R. Utami and A. Y. Wahyudin, "DOES SELF-ESTEEM INFLUENCE STUDENT ENGLISH PROFICIENCY TEST SCORES ?," vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 16–20, 2022.
- M. D. Winaldo and L. Oktaviani, "INFLUENCE OF VIDEO GAMES ON THE ACQUISITION OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE," vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 21–26, 2022.
- U. T. Indonesia, "UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ' MOTIVATION ON ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNING AT UNIVERSITAS TEKNOKRAT INDONESIA

- Wulandari Pranawengtias In this section , the results of data analysis from the questionnaire are explained in the form of tables and descriptive explanati,” vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 27–32, 2022.
- Y. Ardesis, “POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER IN THE STATIONERY SHOP NOVEL BY MARJAN KAMALI,” vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 33–44, 2022.
- M. A. Akhdan and D. Aminatun, “THE CORRELATION BETWEEN ANXIETY AND STUDENT GPA & EPT SCORE DURING COVID 19 PANDEMIC,” vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 45–51, 2022.
- S. Gultom and L. Oktaviani, “THE CORRELATION BETWEEN STUDENTS ’ SELF-ESTEEM AND THEIR ENGLISH PROFICIENCY TEST RESULT,” vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 52–57, 2022.
- W. M. Seyoum, A. Yigzaw, and H. K. Bewuketu, “STUDENTS ’ ATTITUDES AND PROBLEMS ON QUESTION-BASED,” vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 58–63, 2022.
- A. Aprilia, D. Aminatun, and U. T. Indonesia, “Investigating Memory Loss: How Depression Affects Students’ Memory Endurance 1,2,” vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2022.
- D. T. Erlangga, “STUDENT PROBLEMS IN ONLINE LEARNING : SOLUTIONS TO KEEP EDUCATION GOING ON,” vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 21–26, 2022.
- E. F. Baresh, “DEVELOPING LIBYAN UNDERGRADUATES ’ WRITING SKILLS THROUGH REFLECTIVE JOURNALING: A CRITICAL LITERATURE REVIEW Teaching English in Libya Definition of Reflective Journal Writing,” vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 27–35, 2022.
- E. Elbes and L. Oktaviani, “CHARACTER BUILDING IN ENGLISH FOR DAILY CONVERSATION CLASS,” vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 36–45, 2022.
- M. Hestiana, “THE ROLE OF MOVIE SUBTITLES TO IMPROVE STUDENTS ’ VOCABULARY,” vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 46–53, 2022.
- N. Noviana and L. Oktaviani, “THE CORRELATION BETWEEN COLLEGE STUDENT PERSONALITY TYPES AND ENGLISH PROFICIENCY ABILITY AT UNIVERSITAS TEKNOKRAT,” vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 54–60, 2022.
- S. Crisianita and B. Mandasari, “THE USE OF SMALL-GROUP DISCUSSION TO IMPROVE STUDENTS ’,” vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 61–66, 2022.
- M. D. Ariastuti and A. Y. Wahyudin, “EXPLORING ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND LEARNING STYLE OF,” vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 67–73, 2022.
- F. Amin and A. Y. Wahyudin, “THE IMPACT OF VIDEO GAME : ‘ AGE OF EMPIRES II ’ TOWARD STUDENTS ’ READING COMPREHENSION ON NARRATIVE TEXT,” vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 74–80, 2022.
- R. Risten and R. Pustika, “Exploring students’ attitude towards English online learning using Moodle during covid-19 pandemic at SMK Yadika Bandarlampung,” *J.*

- English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 8–15, 2021.
- S. Nurmala Sari, D. Aminatun, S. N. Sari, D. Aminatun, S. Nurmala Sari, and D. Aminatun, “Students’ Perception on the Use of English Movies to Improve Vocabulary Mastery,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 16–22, 2021, [Online]. Available: <http://jim.teknokrat.ac.id/index.php/english-language-teaching/index>
- N. R. Putri and F. M. Sari, “INVESTIGATING ENGLISH TEACHING STRATEGIES TO REDUCE ONLINE TEACHING OBSTACLES IN THE SECONDARY SCHOOL,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 23–31, 2021.
- A. Yuliansyah and M. Ayu, “The Implementation of Project-Based Assignment in Online Learning during Covid-19,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 32–38, 2021.
- A. H. Rahmania and B. Mandasari, “STUDENTS’ PERCEPTION TOWARDS THE USE OF JOOX APPLICATION TO IMPROVE STUDENTS’ PRONUNCIATION,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 39–44, 2021.
- N. Putri and D. Aminatun, “USING FACEBOOK TO PRACTICE WRITING SKILL: WHAT DO THE STUDENTS THINK?,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 45–50, 2021.
- W. I. Erya and R. Pustika, “THE USE OF DESCRIBING PICTURE STRATEGY TO IMPROVE SECONDARY STUDENTS’ SPEAKING SKILL,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 51–56, 2021.
- A. Fiddiyasari and R. Pustika, “STUDENTS’ MOTIVATION IN ENGLISH ONLINE LEARNING DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC AT SMA MUHAMMADIYAH,” vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 57–61, 2021.
- S. Isnaini and D. Aminatun, “DO YOU LIKE LISTENING TO MUSIC?: STUDENTS’ THOUGHT ON,” vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 62–67, 2021.
- A. M. Kiswardhani and M. Ayu, “MEMORIZATION STRATEGY DURING LEARNING PROCESS: STUDENTS’ REVIEW,” vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 68–73, 2021.
- H. T. Yudha and B. Mandasari, “THE ANALYSIS OF GAME USAGE FOR SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL,” vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 74–79, 2021.
- E. Afriyuninda and L. Oktaviani, “THE USE OF ENGLISH SONGS TO IMPROVE ENGLISH STUDENTS’,” vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 80–85, 2021.
- Z. Nadya, R. Pustika, and U. T. Indonesia, “THE IMPORTANCE OF FAMILY MOTIVATION FOR STUDENT TO STUDY ONLINE DURING THE COVID-19,” vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 86–89, 2021.
- D. Aminatun, “STUDENTS’ PERSPECTIVE TOWARD THE USE OF DIGITAL COMIC,” vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 90–94, 2021.
- Y. Gustanti and M. Ayu, “the Correlation Between Cognitive Reading Strategies and

- Students ' English Proficiency Test,” vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 95–100, 2021.
- R. R. F. Sinaga and L. Oktaviani, “The Implementation of Fun Fishing to Teach Speaking for Elementary School Students,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2020.
- A. Siregar and A. R. Utami, “ENGLISH LEARNING CURRICULUM IN JUNIOR HIGH,” vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 2–9, 2021.
- E. Putri and F. M. Sari, “Indonesian Efl Students’ Perspectives Towards Learning Management System Software,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 20–24, 2020, doi: 10.33365/jeltl.v1i1.244.
- D. Apriyanti and M. Ayu, “Think-Pair-Share: Engaging Students in Speaking Activities in Classroom,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 13–19, 2020, doi: 10.33365/jeltl.v1i1.246.
- M. Lestari and A. Y. Wahyudin, “Language learning strategies of undergraduate EFL students,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 25–30, 2020.
- E. T. Handayani and D. Aminatun, “STUDENTS ’ POINT OF VIEW ON THE USE OF WHATSAPP GROUP,” vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 31–37, 2020.
- M. W. B. Simamora and L. Oktaviani, “WHAT IS YOUR FAVORITE MOVIE?: A STRATEGY OF ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDENTS TO IMPROVE ENGLISH VOCABULARY,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 44–49, 2020.
- R. Risten, F. Sinaga, and R. Pustika, “EXPLORING STUDENTS ’ ATTITUDE TOWARDS ENGLISH ONLINE LEARNING USING MOODLE DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC AT,” vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 8–15, 2021.
- O. A. Sasalia and F. M. Sari, “UTILIZING NOVEL IN THE READING CLASS TO EXPLORE STUSasalia, O. A., & Sari, F. M. (2020). UTILIZING NOVEL IN THE READING CLASS TO EXPLORE STUDENTS’VIEWPOINT OF ITS EFFECTIVENESS. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Learning*, 1(2), 56–61.DENTS’VIEWPOIN,” *J. English Lang. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 56–61, 2020.